

## Non-existence of off-critical Yang-Baxter solutions for exceptional Lie algebra models

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L1125

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/24/18/010>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 13:51

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**Non-existence of off-critical Yang–Baxter solutions for exceptional Lie algebra models**

Ronald Rietman

Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Valckenierstraat 65, 1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received 1 July 1991, in final form 31 July 1991

**Abstract.** It is shown that Pasquier’s solution of the Yang–Baxter equations for the models based on the exceptional Lie algebras  $E_6, E_7, E_8$  and their affine counterparts does not admit an off-critical extension in the space of weights of the configurations that satisfy the adjacency conditions imposed by the Dynkin diagram.

In the past decade considerable progress has been made in finding solutions of the Yang–Baxter equations (YBE). An important class of models for which Boltzmann weights satisfying the YBE have been found are the interaction-around-a-face (IRF) models on a square lattice. These models are defined as follows: take a finite square lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  and a set  $\mathbf{h}$  of ‘heights’. A *configuration* is defined as a map  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{h}$ . This model is of the IRF type when the Boltzmann weight of a configuration is given by the product over all faces of  $\mathcal{L}$  of a face weight function  $W$  that depends only on the heights of the four sites around a face. We will take this face weight function the same for all faces of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

The models we will consider are restricted in two ways: the number of elements of  $\mathbf{h}$  is finite and there is a function  $C: \mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{h} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  that indicates whether two neighbouring sites  $i$  and  $j$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  are allowed to have the heights  $h_i$  and  $h_j$ : if  $C(h_i, h_j) = 1$  they are, if  $C(h_i, h_j) = 0$  they are not and the Boltzmann weights of corresponding configurations around a face equal zero. The function  $C$  can be represented by a symmetric  $L \times L$  matrix, where  $L$  is the number of elements of  $\mathbf{h}$ , or by a graph with  $L$  vertices, each vertex corresponding to a single height. When  $C(h', h) = 1$  we draw a bond between the vertex representing height  $h$  and the one representing height  $h'$ . The number of allowed face configurations is given by  $c_4 = \text{tr } C^4$ .

For IRF models the definition of a transfer matrix is obvious [1]. A sufficient condition for transfer matrices to commute are the Yang–Baxter equations (YBE):

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a, \dots, f \in \mathbf{h}: \sum_{g \in \mathbf{h}} W_1 \begin{pmatrix} a & g \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} W_2 \begin{pmatrix} f & e \\ a & g \end{pmatrix} W_3 \begin{pmatrix} e & d \\ g & c \end{pmatrix} \\ = \sum_{g \in \mathbf{h}} W_3 \begin{pmatrix} f & g \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} W_2 \begin{pmatrix} g & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} W_1 \begin{pmatrix} f & e \\ g & d \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

There are  $c_6 \equiv \text{tr } C^6$  YBE, one for each allowed set  $\{a, \dots, f\}$ .

A solution of the YBE can be found for arbitrary graphs [2, 3] and is given by

$$W_i \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} = w_0 \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} |u_i \tag{2}$$

where

$$w_0 \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} u = f(\lambda - u) \delta_{ac} + f(u) \left( \frac{s(a)s(c)}{s(b)s(d)} \right)^{1/2} \delta_{bd} \tag{3}$$

$$u_3 = u_2 - u_1 \tag{4}$$

and  $s$  is an eigenvector of  $C$  with non-negative eigenvalue  $\Lambda$ :

$$\forall h: \sum_{h' \in h} C(h, h') s(h') = \Lambda s(h). \tag{5}$$

The eigenvalue  $\Lambda$  and crossing parameter  $\lambda$  are related through

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} 2 \cos \lambda & \text{if } 0 \leq \Lambda < 2 \\ 2 \cosh \lambda & \text{if } \Lambda > 2 \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

and the function  $f$  is given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin x / \sin \lambda & \text{if } 0 \leq \Lambda < 2 \\ \sinh x / \sinh \lambda & \text{if } \Lambda > 2. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Considering the limit  $\Lambda \rightarrow 2$  in these expressions and rescaling the spectral parameter it is easily seen that for  $\Lambda = 2$  we can take  $f(x) = x$  and  $\lambda = 1$  in equation (3).

Since all matrix elements of  $C$  are non-negative, its largest eigenvalue is positive and so are all elements of the corresponding eigenvector (Perron-Frobenius theorem). Taking  $s$  to be this eigenvector thus leads to real non-negative Boltzmann weights in the regime  $0 \leq u \leq \lambda$ .

The matrices  $C$  with largest eigenvalue  $\Lambda_{\max} < 2$  can be classified [4]: the corresponding graphs are the Dynkin diagrams of the Lie algebras of types  $A$ ,  $D$  and  $E$ , so the corresponding  $h$  is the set of simple roots. The corresponding models were considered by Pasquier [5] in the context of the ADE-classification of modular invariant partition functions.

The largest eigenvalue  $\Lambda_{\max}$  of these matrices can be parametrized by an integer  $m$  that depends on the Lie algebra

$$\Lambda_{\max} = 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{m+1} \right). \tag{8}$$

Pasquier's solution describes *critical* models, but for the models of the  $A$  and  $D$  series it is possible to extend Pasquier's solution off the critical manifold. These restricted-solid-on-solid (RSOS) models [6] have Boltzmann weights that are parametrized in terms of theta functions of which the elliptic nome is zero at criticality, so that Pasquier's circular parametrization is recovered. For the exceptional models no off-critical extensions are known, although Pasquier, in his first *J. Phys. A* letter [5], claimed 'Clearly the  $E$  series can be handled similarly'. In this letter we address the question whether this really is true.

We have investigated this systematically by perturbing around the critical solution

$$W_i \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} = w_0 \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} u_i + \delta W_i \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

and linearizing the YBE (1) in the  $\delta W_i$ . These linearized YBE can be rewritten in matrix notation as

$$\mathbf{M} \cdot \delta \mathbf{W} = 0 \tag{10}$$

where the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is  $c_6$  by  $3c_4$  and depends on the spectral parameters. We must look for the nullspace of  $\mathbf{M}$ ,  $M_0 \equiv \ker \mathbf{M}$ .

In this nullspace there are vectors corresponding to transformations within the critical manifold. These are easily determined and fall into three classes.

(i) Multiplication of the weights by constant factors that are independent of the configuration:

$$W_i \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \rho_i W_i \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

This gives three independent parameters.

(ii) Transformations of the spectral parameters:

$$u_i \rightarrow v_i \quad \text{with} \quad v_3 = v_2 - v_1. \tag{12}$$

This gives two independent parameters.

(iii) Gauge transformations, i.e. transformations on the face weights that leave the Boltzmann weight of an entire configuration invariant, so that also the partition function is invariant. A general gauge transformation can be written as

$$W_i \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{F_i(a, b)}{F_i(d, c)} \frac{G_i(d, a)}{G_i(c, b)} W_i \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

where  $F_i$  and  $G_i$  are arbitrary functions  $\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ . This gauge transformation leaves the YBE invariant provided we take

$$F_2 = F_1 \quad F_3 = G_1 \quad G_3 = G_2 \tag{14}$$

so this gives  $3c_2$  parameters, where  $c_2 \equiv \text{tr } C^2$  is the number of allowed nearest-neighbour pairs.

The infinitesimal versions of the above transformations span a linear space  $M_{0\text{crit}}$  of 'critical integrable perturbations' that is a subspace of  $M_0$ :

$$M_{0\text{crit}} \subseteq M_0. \tag{15}$$

The dimension of  $M_{0\text{crit}}$  is  $\leq 3c_2 + 5$ .

If  $M_0 = M_{0\text{crit}}$ , it is obviously impossible to find an off-critical extension of the critical solution since then any integrable perturbation is critical. There are non-critical integrable perturbations only if  $M_0$  is strictly larger than  $M_{0\text{crit}}$ .

We used the 'singular value decomposition' routine from [7] to calculate  $M_0$ , its dimension and the dimension of  $M_{0\text{crit}}$  for a few values of the spectral parameters:

Lie algebra	$m$	$c_2$	$c_4$	$c_6$	$\dim M_{0\text{crit}}$	$\dim M_0$
$E_6$	11	10	30	106	27	27
$E_7$	17	12	36	126	32	32
$E_8$	29	14	42	146	37	37
$E_6^{(1)}$	$\infty$	12	36	132	32	32
$E_7^{(1)}$	$\infty$	14	42	146	37	37
$E_8^{(1)}$	$\infty$	16	48	166	42	42
$A_4$	5	6	14	36	17	18

This shows that  $M_0 = M_{0\text{crit}}$  for the exceptional Lie algebra models and their affine cousins, implying that there is no off-critical extension. The result for  $A_4$  shows that there is one off-critical extension of this model. This implies that the known extension is the only one.

Finally we should stress that we only investigated the existence of off-critical extensions in the space of weights of those configurations that satisfy the adjacency conditions imposed by the various Dynkin diagrams. The existence of off-critical extensions with new heights or looser adjacency conditions cannot be excluded.

I would like to thank Bernard Nienhuis for discussions. This work is part of the research programme of the Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM).

### References

- [1] Baxter R J 1982 *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* (London: Academic)
- [2] Pearce P A 1990 *Yang-Baxter Equations, Conformal Invariance and Integrability in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory* ed M N Barber and P A Pearce (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [3] Owczarek A L and Baxter R J 1987 *J. Stat. Phys.* **49** 1093
- [4] Goodman F M, de la Harpe P and Jones V F R 1989 *Coxeter Graphs and Towers of Algebras* (New York: Springer)
- [5] Pasquier V 1987 *Nucl. Phys. B* **285** [FS19] 162  
Pasquier V 1987 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **20** L217, L221, L1229, 5707
- [6] G E Andrews, Baxter R J and Forrester P J 1984 *J. Stat. Phys.* **35** 193  
Kuniba A and Yajima T 1988 *J. Stat. Phys.* **52** 829
- [7] Press W H *et al* 1988 *Numerical Recipes in C* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)